UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,272	09/08/2003	Hiroyuki Takahashi	723-1425	7144
	7590 08/06/200 NDERHYE, P.C.	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	WILLIAMS, ROSS A		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3714		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/06/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/656,272	TAKAHASHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ROSS A. WILLIAMS	3714					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u>	oril 2008						
	action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	vn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	αιστι προιοσιαστι					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1 – 36 are objected to due to the wording of the claims; particularly the number agreement with respect to specifying which particular position determining logic circuitry determines which particular position of the cursor upon the gauge. The Examiner recommends clarifying the number agreement.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are indefinite due to the fact that the there seems to be a number agreement with respect to the first, second and third inputs of the controller and the first and second positions that the cursor is stopped at. For example, the claims specify that the "cursor second position detecting programmed logic circuitry" is configured to determine the "cursor first position". Thus the claims are not expressly clear. Similar type of wording can be found in subsequent independent and dependent claims. Clarification as to the wording of the claims is needed.

The Examiner suggest that the claims be rewritten to include the limitations of the preamble and that the Applicant expressly link the first and second positioning of the

cursor to the "shot power" and "hit location" to the appropriate input determination by means of the controller inputs as the preamble specifies. The Examiner feels that this will help to render the claims to be more precise and clear.

The Examiner further suggests that the Applicant review the alternative language in the claims. Specifically the limitations of "club swing/shot mode". This alternative language renders the claims indefinite as well. The Examiner feels that by the Applicant expressly stating either a "swing mode" or "shot mode", the claims will be more definite.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 – 36 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The Examiner recommends that the claims be rewritten clarified to specify the number agreements between the specific inputs of the controller to the specific cursor position determining positions. If rewritten to clarify the claims, the claims probably will be allowable over the prior art of record.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ROSS A. WILLIAMS whose telephone number is (571)272-5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,272 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ronald Laneau can be reached on (571) 272-6784. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Robert E Pezzuto/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714

/R. A. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3714 Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination		
10/656,272	TAKAHASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ROSS A. WILLIAMS	3714		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080804